


BLANK ROME LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jeremy J.O. Harwood (JH 9012)
405 Lexington Avenue
The Chrysler Building
New York, NY 10174
(212) 885-5000

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



PILEAS HOLDINGS S.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HONG KONG QINF A SHIPPING CO.
LTD.,

Defendant.

08 Civ. 4995(VM)

**ORDER DIRECTING CLERK
TO ISSUE PROCESS OF
MARITIME ATTACHMENT
AND GARNISHMENT**

Upon reading and filing the Verified Complaint of Plaintiff, PILEAS HOLDINGS S.A. ("Plaintiff"), verified on May 29, 2008 by Jeremy J.O. Harwood, Esq., that to the best of his information and belief, the Defendant HONG KONG QINF A SHIPPING CO. LTD., cannot be found within this district, and the Court having found that the conditions required by Rule B(1) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure exist,

NOW, upon motion of Blank Rome LLP, attorneys for Plaintiff, it is hereby,

ORDERED, that the Clerk of this Court is authorized to issue the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment against all assets, cash, funds, credits, wire transfers, accounts, letters of credit, electronic fund transfers, freights, sub-freights,

charter hire, sub-charter hire, or any other tangible and/or intangible assets belonging to, due, claimed by, being held for or on behalf of, or being transferred for the benefit of Defendant, including, but not limited to any such assets as may be in the possession, custody or control of, or being transferred through any garnishee within this District, including, without limitation, assets held by or at:

1. The Bank of New York
2. Bank of America
3. JP Morgan Chase
4. ABN Amro Bank
5. American Express Bank
6. Barclay's Bank
7. BNP Paribas
8. Citibank
9. Deutsche Bank and/or Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Americas
10. Dresdner Bank AG
11. HSBC Bank USA
12. Wachovia Bank, N.A. - New York
13. UBS

and said Order being equally applicable with respect to the issuance and service of additional Writs of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment upon any garnishees in this district not named herein, in an amount up to and including \$236,000 pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and it is further

ORDERED, that any person claiming an interest in the property attached or garnished pursuant to said Order shall, upon application to the Court, be entitled to a prompt hearing at which the Plaintiff shall be required to show why the attachment and garnishment should not be vacated or other relief granted; and it is further

ORDERED, that supplemental process enforcing the Court's Order may be issued by the Clerk upon application without further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED, that following initial service by the United States Marshal or other designated process server upon each garnishee, supplemental service of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment, as well as this Order, may be made by way of facsimile transmission or email to each garnishee and, it is further,


ORDERED, that service on any garnishee as described above is deemed continuous throughout the day from the time of such service through the opening of the garnishee's business the next business day; and, it is further,

ORDERED, that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(D), each garnishee may consent, in writing, to accept service by any other means; and, it is further,

ORDERED, that a copy of this Order be attached to and served with the said Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment.

Dated: 30 May, 2008

SO ORDERED:



U.S.D.J.
Victor Marrero



The Writ of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment authorized herein shall remain in effect for an initial period of ninety (90) days. Prior to its expiration plaintiff may request renewal upon showing of sufficient reason to maintain this order in place. Plaintiff shall provide the Court with a report on the status of this matter within ninety (90) days from the date of this Order. In the event such report or application for renewal is not submitted as specified, the Court may dismiss the action.

SO ORDERED.

30 May 2008
Date


Victor Marrero, U.S.D.J.

SCHEDULE A

1. The Bank of New York
2. Bank of America
3. JP Morgan Chase
4. ABN Amro Bank
5. American Express Bank
6. Barclay's Bank
7. BNP Paribas
8. Citibank
9. Deutsche Bank and/or Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Americas
10. Dresdner Bank AG
11. HSBC Bank USA
12. Wachovia Bank, N.A. - New York
13. UBS